### **PURDON PLANNING**

# **SECTION 66 DEAKIN**

# ECOLOGICAL VALUE ASSESSMENT

### **Critique by Red Hill Regenerators**

#### **General comments**

The report is cursory in nature, erroneous in its application of assessment criteria, inadequate in its assessment of values and completely lacking in credibility.

It has falsely stated that the area does not contain critically endangered woodland, when in fact more than 50% of Lots, 7, 8 and 13 support this woodland and are part of one of the most significant remaining remnants of this woodland type left. Section 66 adds to both the species diversity (it is the only Red Hill location of the uncommon pea *Zornia dyctiocarpa*) and habitat diversity of the Red Hill remnant (it is the main area on Red Hill of the grasslandwoodland ecotone).

It makes no mention of the highly significant confirmed use of the site by breeding Gang-Gang cockatoos and fails to mention two close occurrences in similar habitat of the threatened Golden Sun Moth and Perunga Grasshopper. It inexcusably missed the occurrence of the endangered Button Wrinklewort, adjacent to the proposed development site. Section 66 and the surrounding open space and reserve is also part of the foraging habitat of a breeding pair of the vulnerable Little Eagle.

The report contains no detailing of the species found on site, and mentions less than a dozen species. It lists just five native plants within Section 66, compared to the fifty-one that have been recorded there. This is perhaps not surprising as survey was conducted at an unsuitable time of the year and under adverse conditions, when most native wildlife would not be observable. The report does not mention this significant limitation.

The report also contains no assessment of the values that would be indirectly impacted by the proposal, and inadequately lists the potential indirect impacts that are likely to result.

#### **Specific Comments**

• Survey was undertaken in July 2017, during a dry winter and under heavy kangaroo grazing, so that much of the diversity of the site would not be apparent. The poor timing for the survey was not mentioned in the report. As detailed below this has led the report to erroneous conclusions.

- The survey also misinterpreted the presence of Snow Gum and Ribbon Gum near boundary of site as planted rather than being indigenous to the site and the implication that these species indicate that the site is on the ecotone between grassland and Box-Gum Woodland and hence adds a habitat type not found elsewhere on Red Hill. Section 66 being the only location on Red Hill where the predominately native grassland pea *Zornia dyctiocarpa* has been found, exemplifies the increased diversity that Section 66 adds to the wider woodland patch.
- Section 66 is an important part of a wider highly significant patch. Being an ecotone area also opens the possibly of threatened predominately grassland species occurring on site including the Golden Sun Moth and Perunga Grasshopper. The Golden Sun Moth has been recorded about 300m down slope of Section 66 and Perunga has been recorded on Mt Davidson (the part of Red Hill behind Garran). It should be assumed that these species occur on site until targeted, adequate and timely surveys are undertaken.
- It is unclear why the report claims that the preferred habitat of both the Golden Sun Moth and Perunga are not present on the site, when the habitat present is very similar to that of where both species have been observed both locally in the North Woden area and more widely across the ACT. Certainly, the key habitat elements for these species (particular native grasses and herbs) are present on site. Nor is there any mention of the close occurrence of these species to the site, despite this information being publicly available on the Government ACTMAPi website.
- The consultants (page 8) make the erroneous conclusion that plantings over an understorey dominated by native species means that the vegetation can not be considered as native and because they only found five species in the most diverse 20m x 20m quadrat it is not threatened woodland. On 14 December 2017 the Red Hill Regenerators surveyed two 20m x 20m plots within Section 66. In one plot 26 native plants were recorded and in the other 31 native plants, both plots had five what the Commonwealth considers as important species and 18 and 20 native herb species respectively. The data of the Red Hill Regenerators is attached to this letter. Unfortunately the Hindmarsh report does not contain its survey data. Another significant omission from the Hindmarsh report is lack of acknowledgement of the limitation resulting from surveying in the middle of winter, when the understorey had been grazed to a few millimetres.
- It is perhaps therefore not surprising that Figure 1.1 bears little resemblance to the occurrence of native vegetation across the site.
- The report mentions but disregards the mapping of part of Section 66 by ACT Government ecologists (Maguire and Mulvaney 2011) who compiled a map of endangered Yellow Box – Blakely's Red Gum woodland across

the ACT. It is odd that the consultants instead refer to earlier 2004 and 2007 reports by Geoff Butler.

- The consultants also confuse what is required for the test of being part of the endangered ecological community under the ACT threatened species listing and that under the Commonwealth's Environment Protection Biodiversity Conservation Act. The ACT listing refers to a predominance of native species cover in the perennial understorey, whereas the Commonwealth have several criteria relating to understorey diversity or large tree density. The consultants erroneously equate both listings to the Commonwealth test.
- The report does not contain either a plant or animal species list and one is left to conclude that this is because only a very cursory inspection was preformed. It talks about only 5 native plants being present within the most diverse 20m x 20m plot which is in stark contrast to the 51 native species recorded by the Red Hill Regenerators within Lots 7 and 8 when surveyed on 29.12/2007 (see list at end of comments), or a recent survey of two 20m x 20m plots where 40 native species were recorded.
- The consultants assess the vegetation of Block 66 as if it was a separate vegetation patch, when, under both Commonwealth and ACT guidelines, it should be considered as a continuous part of a much larger patch across Red Hill. It is bewildering why the fact that Section 66 lies across the edge of a very large woodland patch is not part of the analysis.
- The conclusion that the vegetation is not part of either the ACT or EPBC listed woodland community is not credible.
- Much of the vegetation present is critically endangered Yellow Box Blakely's Red Gum Woodland. To qualify, as part of the nationally endangered woodland, the woodland patch must be greater than 0.1 ha, have an understorey perennial cover made up by at least 50% native species, which contains at least 12 non-grass understorey species and at least one important species. The majority of the understorey on blocks 13, 7 and the southern half of block 8, mainly consists of eleven different native grass species. These blocks also support at least 26 non-grass native species in the understorey including five significant species. This woodland is part of a patch in the order of 400ha.
- The consultants record just six fauna species for the site, which is laughable for its brevity. They say that no fauna using tree hollows were observed (page16), but they don't mention that the survey was out of the breeding season and that they didn't look in the hollows, or undertake any bat recordings.
- Gang Gang chicks have only been observed within nesting trees on two occasions in the ACT. One of these nest trees is within Section 66 the other is just to the north-east of the proposed development. It is thought

that Gang-gangs like to breed in vicinity of each other and that there are four or five breeding sites within the ACT. The Kent street end of Red Hill Nature Reserve is one of these breeding locations, and as such is of high conservation significance (Chris Davey, Canberra Ornithologist Group, Pers. Comm.). Not only is the Gang-Gang the fauna emblem of the ACT it is listed as a threatened species in NSW.

- Sugar Gliders and at least two different species of microbats have also been observed utilising hollow bearing trees within Section 66. In addition at least Sulphur Crested Cockatoos, Crimson Rosellas, Eastern Rosellas, Brush-tail Possums, and Galahs are all using hollows, on site. There are likely to be many more hollow users on site.
- The tree and shrub plantings also add to the diversity of nectar, pollen
  and invertebrate food available in this part of Red Hill and are utilised by
  a range of honeyeaters and insectivorous birds.
- The report does suggest that the Little Eagle, which is listed as a vulnerable species in the ACT, may forage across the site. In 2017 a Little Eagle was observed on several occasions flying above Section 66 and hunting rabbits and medium sized birds in the vicinity of Section 66 and the adjoining Red Hill Nature Reserve. As a pair of Little Eagles has been observed on Red Hill during the 2015, 2016 and 2017 breeding season it is probable that Section 66 is within the foraging habitat of a breeding pair of this threatened eagle. The Action Plan for this species states that protecting foraging habitat, such as that around Section 66, is crucial. It is wrong to claim, as the report does "that the Little Eagle is unlikely to breed within the study area or adjacent areas." It is a known part of a breeding habitat.
- The consultants also apparently did not observe the population of Button Wrinklewort, growing on the open space land immediately adjacent to the development. While the origins of this population are from seed transplanted from elsewhere on the Hill, the plants of this nationally endangered daisy are now self seeding and the population is of conservation value and certainly worthy of a mention and consideration.
- The report correctly states "the site is known to provide Woodland Connectivity (ACT Government Environment Planning and Sustainable Development 2017). Block 7 has high to medium value for local woodland linkages, the site also lies at the end of a regional link running east west in the Nature Reserve." However, it then contradicts itself by stating that the site offers limited connectivity capability. Also the fact that the site is part of one of the largest remnants of this vegetation type left anywhere in Australia is overlooked.
- While Kent Street may be a barrier to some species research by CSIRO (see the several papers by the Doers) has found that most woodland

- species including butterflies, beetles, reptiles, birds and bats will cross a gap of up to 110m. This contrary to claims made in the report.
- Given that elsewhere on the western side of Red Hill a minimum fire asset protection zone of 30m has been established it is uncertain whether the Emergency Services Agency would accept the 10m wide zone proposed in this report.
- The mitigation section talks about some indirect impacts, but nowhere in the report is there a documentation or assessment of the conservation values that would be indirectly impacted by the proposal.
- The examination of indirect impacts is inadequate. For example it does not consider what would be the recreation and user impacts from having 500 units border Red Hill Nature reserve.
- Neither does the report mention that the units will be located partially over and downslope of toxic, permeable and leaky industrial, building and household tips and that asbestos is currently exposed on the surface and that a creek flows from the vicinity of the tips past the proposed development. What remediation work would be required in Red Hill Reserve to make it safe for children and adults in the residential development to play in and utilise the neighbouring reserve?

## Native Plants recorded in 20m X20m plots 14/12/2017 within Section 66

## Plot 1 centred on 149.096397 E and -35.327092 S

### Plot 2 centred on 149.098402 E and -35.327028 S

Latin Name	Commom name	Plot 1	Plot2	Important species
Acacia ehinata	Sheep's Burr		R	
Acaena ovina	Sheep's Burr		1	
Aristida ramosa	Purple Wire Grass		1	
Asperula conferta	Common Woodruff		1	Yes
Austrodanthonia sp.	Wallaby Grasses	2	2	
Austrostipa bigeniculata	Tall Corkscrew Grass	1	1	
Austrostipa scabra	Corkscrew Grass	2	2	
Bothriochloa macra	Redleg Grass	2	2	
Bracteantha viscosa	Sticky Everlasting		R	
Calotis lappulacea	Yellow Burr-daisy	1		Yes
Chamaesyce drummondii	Caustic Weed	+		
Chloris truncata	Windmill Grass	1		
Chrysocephalum apiculatum	Yellow Buttons	1	2	Yes
Cymbonoyus sp.	Bears Ears	+		
Einandia nutans	Climbing Saltbush	R		
Elymus scaber	Wheatgrass	1		
Erodium crinitum	Blue Storksbill	+	R	
Eucalyptus blakelyi	Blakley's Red Gum		2	
Eucalyptus melliodora	Yellow Box		1	
Euchiton sphaericus	Star Cudweed	+	R	
Geranium sp	Native Geranium		R	
Glycine tabacina	Native Soybean		+	Yes
Goodenia pinnatifida	Transfer Soft Cam	1	1	Yes
Helichrysum luteoalbum	Jersey cudweed	1	R	
Juncus filicaulis	Pinrush		R	
Lomandra bracteata	Small Matrush	+		
Lomandra filiformis	Wattle Mat-rush		1	
Lomandra multiflora	Many-flowered Mat-		+	
	rush			
Microlaena stipoides	Weeping Grass	2	2	
Oxalis perennans	Grassland Wood Sorrel	1	1	
Panicum effusum	Hairy Panic	2	1	
Poa sieberiana	Poa Tussock		2	
Rumex brownii	Swamp Dock		1	
Senecio quadridentatus	Cotton Fireweed	R	R	
Tricoryne elatior	Yellow Rush Lily	+	+	Yes
Vittadinia muelleri	Narrow-leaf New	1	1	- 100°
	Holland Daisy			
Wahlenbergia communis	Tufted Bluebell	1	1	
Wahlenbergia luteola	Yellowish Bluebell	R		
Wahlenbergia stricta	Tall Bluebell	+	+	
Zornia dyctiocarpa	Zornia	1		Yes

### Weeds Recorded in 20m x 20m Plot 14/12/2017 within Section 66

### Plot 1 centred on 149.096397 E and -35.327092 S

#### Plot 2 centred on 149.098402 E and -35.327028 S

Latin Name	Common name	Plot1	Plot 2
Acetosella vulgaris	Sheep Sorrel	+	1
Aira sp.	Hair Grass	1	1
Bromus sp	Brome Grass	1	1
Centaurium erythrea	Pink Stars	R	R
Chondrilla juncea	Skeleton Weed	R	
Conyza sp.	Conzya	1	R
Eleusine tristachya	Goose Grass	+	
Eragrostis curvula	African Lovegrass	3	
Festuca elatior	Fescue		1
Hirschfeldia incana	Mustard Weed	R	R
Hypericum perforatum	St John's Wort	R	1
Hypochoeris radiata	Cat's ear	1	1
Paronychia brasiliana	Barzilian Whitlow	1	1
Petrorhagia nanteilii	Poliferous Pink		+
Phalarus aquatica	Phalarus		1
Plantago lanceolata	Plantain	1	1
Prunus cerisifera	Cherry Plum		R
Rosa rubiginosa	Briar Rose		R
Salvia verbenaca	Wild Sage	+	+
Sonchus asper	Rough Sow-thistle	R	О
Sonchus oleraceus	Common Sow-thistle	0	О
Tolpis umbellate	Yellow Hawkweed	R	R
Tragopogon porrifolius	Salsify		О
Trifolium arvense	Hairs Foot Clover	R	О
Trifolium campestre	Hop Clover		R
Ulmus chinensis	Chinese Elm		R
Verbascum thapsus	Mullein	R	О
Vulpia sp.	Rats Tail Fescue	О	О

R = < 3 plants in plot

+ = 4 - 15 plants in plot

1 = >15 plants but < 5% ground cover

2 = 5 - 25% groundcover

3 = 25 -50% groundcover

# Native Plants recorded on Lots 7 + 829/12/07

% of sites plant recorded in

		% of sites plant recorded in					
Latin Name	Commom name	Lot 7	Lot 8	Sign. Rating	ACT, UM & UL n=269	Monaro n=217	South West Slopes n = 185
Acacia dealbata	Silver Wattle		R	1	52.42	29.72	39.46
Acacia mearnsii	Green Wattle	R		3	8.02	23.42	42.16
Acacia rubida	Redleaf Wattle	R	R	3	1.42	13.01	1.08
Acaena ovina	Sheep's Burr	R	0	2	81.60	70.26	65.41
Aristida ramosa	Purple Wire Grass		Rp/c	1	49.81	15.09	45.96
Asperula conferta	Common Woodruff		Rp/c	3	48.70	73.11	55.68
Austrostipa bigeniculata		Op/c	Op/c	1			
Austrostipa scabra	Corkscrew Grass	I	0	1			
Bothriochloa macra	Redleg Grass	Op/c	I	1	37.55	48.58	33.51
Bracteantha viscosa	Sticky Everlasting	Rp/c	O	4	4.25	11.15	3.78
Calotis lappulacea	Yellow Burr-daisy	R	Ŭ	5	3.35	1.42	0.54
Carex inversa	Knob Sedge	- 10	Rp/c	1	82.16	33.02	51.35
Cassinia longifolia	Cauliflower Bush	R	тери	1	02.10	33.02	31.30
Cheilanthes sieberi	Rock Fern	0	О	3			
Chenopodium pumilo	Small Crumbweed	R	0	3			
Chloris truncata	Windmill Grass	0	0				
Chrysocephalum apiculatum	Yellow Buttons	Op/c	Op/c	1	62.85	80.19	81.08
Clematis microphylla	Small-leaved Clematis	R	Ор/С	5	2.23	4.25	1.62
Convolvulus erubescens	Australian Bindweed	0	О	3	26.39	61.32	33.51
Cynodon dactylon	Cooch Grass	Op/c	Op/c	3	20.39	01.32	33.31
Danthonia caespitosa	Ringed Wallaby Grass	Орге	Ор/С				
Danthonia carphoides	Short Wallaby Grass			1			
Danthonia linkii	A Wallaby Grass			1			
Desmodium varians	Slender Tick Trefoil	R		4	23.05	27.83	20.54
Einandia nutans	Sichael Fick Fictori	R	О	3	16.36	33.02	16.22
Elymus scaber	Wheatgrass	0	0	2	65.80	79.72	67.03
Erodium crinitum	Blue Storksbill	0	0		03.80	19.12	07.03
Eryngium rostratum	Blue Devil	R	0				
Etyngium fostiatum  Eucalyptus blakelyi	Blakley's Red Gum	0	0				
Eucalyptus melliodora	Yellow Box	R	R				
Geranium solanderi	Native Geranium	K	0	1	60.59	63.68	36.76
Gnaphalium involucratum	Star Cudweed	О	0	1	55.76	52.36	71.89
Goodenia pinnatifida	Star Cuuweeu	0	0	1	33.70	32.30	/1.09
Grevillea juniperina		R	0				
Juncus filicaulis	Pinrush	K	R	1			
Lepidium	Peppercress	О	0	1			
pseudohyssopifolium	reppetetess		U				
Lomandra filiformis	Wattle Mat-rush	О	О	3	78.44	18.40	58.92
Lomandra multiflora	Many-flowered Mat-	R	0	3	37.17	6.60	33.51
Microlaena stipoides	rush Weeping Grass	05/6	00/0	2	62.83	25.00	75.68
*	Grassland Wood	Op/c O	Op/c	2 2	57.25		62.70
Oxalis perennans	Sorrel		О		31.23	57.55	62.70
Panicum effusum	Hairy Panic	О	0	1	30.48	34.91	36.22
Panicum ejjusum Poa sieberiana	Poa Tussock	0	0	1	70.63	86.79	68.11
		0		1	/0.03	80.79	08.11
Pseudo-gnaphalium lutea	Jersey Cudweed	O	R	_	70.26	20.21	50.40
Rumex brownii	Swamp Dock	R	0	2	70.26	38.21	52.43
Senecio quadridentatus	Cotton Fireweed	О	O	1	34.94	12.74	21.62

Themeda australis	Kangaroo Grass	Rp/c		1	81.41	79.25	89.19
Tricoryne elatior	Yellow Rush Lily	R	R				
Vittadinia cuneata	Fuzzy New Holland	О	O	4	12.64	33.49	15.68
	Daisy						
Vittadinia muelleri	Narrow-leaf New	О	О	3	37.17	64.15	41.08
	Holland Daisy						
Wahlenbergia communis	Tufted Bluebell	О	О	2			
Wahlenbergia stricta	Tall Bluebell	О	О	2			

# Weeds Recorded on lots 7 and 8 29/12/07

Latin Name	Common name	Lot 7	Lot 8
Acacia baileyana	Cootamundra Wattle	R	O
Acetosella vulgaris	Sheep Sorrel		Op/c
Aira sp.	Hair Grass	О	
Arctotheca calendula	Cape Weed	0	
Avena sp.	Wild Oats	0	О
Bromus molliformis	Brome Grass	R	O
Celtis australis	Nettle Tree	R	O
Centaurium erythrea	Pink Stars	R	O
Chondrilla juncea	Skeleton Weed	R	O
Cirsium vulgare	Spear Thistle		O
Conyza sp.	Conzya	R	
Cotoneaster pannosus	Cotoneaster	R	O
Crataegus monogyna	Hawthorn		O
Cynosurus echinatus	Haresfoot		O
Dactylis glomerata	Cocksfoot		Op/c
Echium plantagineum	Patersons Curse	R	O
Eleusine tristachya	Goose Grass	Op/c	O
Eragrostis curvula	African Lovegrass	Op/c	Op/c
Festuca elatior	Fescue		Op/c
Foeniculum vulgare	Fennel		Rp/c
Hakea eriantha		R	
Hirschfeldia incana	Mustard Weed	О	O
Hypericum perforatum	St John's Wort	О	O
Hypochoeris radiata	Cat's ear	О	O
Marrubium vulgare	Horehound		Rp/c
Medicago sativa	Lucerne	R	
Modiola caroliniana	Marshmallow Plant	R	O
Nassella neesiana	Chilean Needle Grass	Op/c	I
Olea europea	African Olive		R
Onopordum acanthium	Scotch Thistle		R
Orobanche minor	Lesser Broomrape		O
Paspalum dilatum	Paspalum Grass	O	Op/c
Petrorhagia nanteilii	Poliferous Pink	R	O
Phalarus aquatica	Phalarus	Op/c	Op/c
Pistachio chinensis	Chinese Pistachio	R	O
Plantago lanceolata	Plantain	Op/c	Op/c
Polygonum aviculare	Wireweed	R	
Poterium polygamum	Sheeps Burnett		O
Prunus cerisifera	Cherry Plum		R
Prunus laurocerasus	Cherry laurel	R	
Prunus persica	Peach	R	
Pyracantha angustifolia	Firethorn		R
Pyracantha fortuneana	Firethorn	R	R
Pyrus sp.	Manchurian Pear		R

Rosa rubiginosa	Briar Rose	R	
Rumex crispus	Curled Dock		О
Salvia verbenaca	Wild Sage		О
Silybum marianum	Variegated Thistle		Rp/c
Sonchus asper	Rough Sow-thistle	R	O
Sonchus oleraceus	Common Sow-thistle	0	0
Tolpis umbellate	Yellow Hawkweed	R	R
Tragopogon porrifolius	Salsify		О
Trifolium arvense	Hairs Foot Clover	R	О
Trifolium campestre	Hop Clover		R
Ulmus chinensis	Chinese Elm		R
Verbascum thapsus	Mullein	R	O
Vulpia sp.	Rats Tail Fescue	0	О